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#### Abstract

This paper gives the conditions necessary for weighted convergence of Hermite-Fejér interpolation for a general class of even weights which are of exponential decay on the real line or at the end points of $(-1,1)$. The results of this paper guarantee that the conditions of Theorem 2.3 in [11] are optimal. © 2005 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.


## 1. Introduction

For a function $f:(a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R},-\infty \leqslant a<b \leqslant \infty$ and a set

$$
\chi_{n}:=\left\{x_{1 n}, x_{2 n}, \ldots, x_{n n}\right\}, n \geqslant 1
$$

of pairwise distinct nodes let $H_{n}\left[\chi_{n} ; f\right]$ denote the Hermite-Fejér interpolation polynomials of degree $\leqslant 2 n-1$ to $f$ with respect to $\chi_{n}$. In fact, $H_{n}\left[\chi_{n} ; f\right]$ is the unique polynomial of degree $\leqslant 2 n-1$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{n}\left[\chi_{n} ; f\right]\left(x_{j n}\right)=f\left(x_{j n}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad H_{n}^{\prime}\left[\chi_{n} ; f\right]\left(x_{j n}\right)=0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $j=1,2, \ldots, n$.

[^0]This paper deals with Hermite-Fejér interpolations with respect to $\chi_{n}$ whose elements are the zeros of a sequence of orthogonal polynomials. More precisely, in this paper we consider $w_{Q}(x):=\exp (-Q(x))$, where $Q: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is even, continuous, and of at least polynomial growth at the end of interval $I$ and $I$ is either $(-1,1)$ or $\mathbb{R}$. Then $\chi_{n}$ consists of the zeros $\left\{x_{j, n}\left(w_{Q}^{2}\right)\right\}_{j=1}^{n}$ of the $n$-th orthonormal polynomial $p_{n}\left(w_{Q}^{2}, x\right)$,

$$
p_{n}(x):=p_{n}\left(w_{Q}^{2}, x\right)=\gamma_{n}\left(w_{Q}^{2}\right) x^{n}+\text { lower degree terms }\left(\gamma_{n}\left(w_{Q}^{2}\right)>0\right)
$$

with respect to $w_{Q}^{2}$, defined by the condition

$$
\int_{I} p_{n} p_{m} w_{Q}^{2}(x) d x=\delta_{m n}, \quad m, n=0,1,2, \ldots
$$

Then all $\left\{x_{j, n}\left(w_{Q}^{2}\right)\right\}_{j=1}^{n}$ belongs to $I$, which we arrange as

$$
x_{n, n}\left(w_{Q}^{2}\right)<x_{n-1, n}\left(w_{Q}^{2}\right)<\cdots<x_{2, n}\left(w_{Q}^{2}\right)<x_{1, n}\left(w_{Q}^{2}\right) .
$$

Let $H_{n}\left[w_{Q}^{2} ; \cdot\right]$ be the Hermite-Fejér interpolation operator with respect to the zeros $\left\{x_{j, n}\left(w_{Q}^{2}\right)\right\}_{j=1}^{n}$ of $p_{n}\left(w_{Q}^{2} ; x\right)$.

Our main concern is the following problem: What is a necessary and sufficient condition on $u(x)$ and $w(x)$ that the relation

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\left(f-H_{n}\left[w_{Q}^{2} ; f\right]\right) w\right\|_{L_{\infty}(I)}=0
$$

holds for every continuous function satisfying $\lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty}$ or $1\left|f(x) w_{Q}^{2}(x) u(x)\right|=0$ ?
Several sufficient conditions for weighted convergence of Hermite-Fejér interpolation polynomials are obtained. See $[6,11,12,16,25,31]$ and the references therein. In particular, [11,16,31] gave sufficient conditions of our problem with respect to the weights decaying exponentially at the end points. There is a vast literature dealing with necessary and sufficient conditions for weighted convergence of Lagrange interpolation for even Freud, Erdős, and exponential weights on $(-1,1)$. We refer the reader to $[1-5,7-9,17,19-22,24,26,28-31]$ and the many references cited therein. Especially, some necessary conditions for weighted convergence of Lagrange interpolation with respect to these weights were given in [4,8,9,28,30]. In this paper we intend to give the conditions necessary for weighted convergence of Hermite-Fejér interpolation polynomials with respect to the weights decaying exponentially at the end points.

This paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, we introduce our admissible class of weights and state the main results. In Section 3, we present some lemmas and prove the results of Section 2. In Section 4, we especially apply our main theorems to Freud and Erdős weights cases. Finally, in Section 5, we recall some notations, bounds on orthogonal polynomials and related estimates.

## 2. Main results

We first introduce some notations which we use in the following. For any two sequences $\left\{b_{n}\right\}_{n}$ and $\left\{c_{n}\right\}_{n}$ of nonzero real numbers(or functions), we write $b_{n} \lesssim c_{n}$, if there exists a
constant $C>0$, independent of $n($ and $x)$ such that $b_{n} \leqslant C c_{n}$ for $n$ large enough and write $b_{n} \sim c_{n}$ if $b_{n} \lesssim c_{n}$ and $c_{n} \lesssim b_{n}$. We denote by $\mathcal{P}_{n}$ the space of polynomials of degree at most $n$. Let $I+$ be either $(0, \infty)$ if $I=\mathbb{R}$ or $(0,1)$ if $I=(-1,1)$.

We now introduce an admissible class of weights.
Definition 2.1. Let $w_{Q}(x)=\exp (-Q(x))$ where $Q(x): I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is even, continuous, and
(a) $Q^{\prime \prime}(x)$ is continuous in $I+$ and $Q^{\prime \prime}(x), Q^{\prime}(x) \geqslant 0$ in $I+$,
(b) the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(x):=1+\frac{x Q^{\prime \prime}(x)}{Q^{\prime}(x)}, x \in I+ \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfies for large enough $x$ or $x$ close enough to 1

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(x) \sim \frac{x Q^{\prime}(x)}{Q(x)} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover $T$, satisfies either:
(b1) There exist $A>1$ and $B>1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \leqslant T(x) \leqslant B, x \in I+ \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b2) T is increasing in $I+$ with $\lim _{x \rightarrow 0+} T(x)>1$. If $I=\mathbb{R}$,

$$
\lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} T(x)=\infty
$$

and if $I=(-1,1)$, for $x$ close enough to $\pm 1$ and some $A>2$,

$$
T(x) \geqslant \frac{A}{1-x^{2}}
$$

Then, $w_{Q}(x)$ is called an admissible weight and we write $w_{Q} \in \mathcal{A}$.
We call $w_{Q}(x)$ a Freud weight in the case of (b1). In the case of (b2), we call it an Erdős weight in case $I=\mathbb{R}$ or an exponential weight on $(-1,1)$ in case $I=(-1,1)$. Freud weights are characterized by smooth polynomial decay of $Q(x)$ at infinity and Erdős weights by their faster than smooth polynomial decay at infinity. Exponential weights on $(-1,1)$ decay strongly near $\pm 1$ as exponentials decay faster than classical Jacobi weights. They violate the well-known Szegő condition for orthogonal polynomials (cf. [10, p. 208]).

The author gave a sufficient condition for our problem in [11]. In the following, we state the extended Szabados' result of [11].

Theorem 2.2 (Jung [11]). Let $w_{Q} \in \mathcal{A}, u(x):=\left|Q^{\prime}(x)\right|$, and $v(x):=(|x|+1)^{-1 / 3}$. For a continuous function $f$ on I with

$$
\lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty \text { or } 1}\left|f(x) w_{Q}^{2}(x) u(x)\right|=0
$$

it holds that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\left(f-H_{n}\left[w_{Q}^{2} ; f\right]\right) w_{Q}^{2} v\right\|_{L_{\infty}(I)}=0
$$

In the following, a necessary condition for the extended Szabados' result of [11] is given.
Theorem 2.3. Let $w_{Q} \in \mathcal{A}$. Suppose $v: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$is a measurable function satisfying that

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty \text { or } 1} x v(x) Q^{-2 / 3}(x) / \log (1+|x|)=\infty
$$

Then there exists a continuous function $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying that

$$
\lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty \text { or } 1}\left|f(x) w_{Q}^{2}(x) Q^{\prime}(x) \log (1+|x|)\right|=0
$$

such that

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|H_{n}\left[w_{Q}^{2} ; f\right] w_{Q}^{2} v\right\|_{L_{\infty}(I)}=\infty
$$

Theorem 2.4. Let $w_{Q} \in \mathcal{A}$. If for every continuous function $f$ defined on I satisfying that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty \text { or } 1}\left|f(x) w_{Q}^{2}(x) Q^{\prime}(x) \log (1+|x|)\right|=0 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

it holds that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\left(f-H_{n}\left[w_{Q}^{2} ; f\right]\right) w_{Q}^{2} v\right\|_{L_{\infty}(I)}=0
$$

then it is necessary that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty \text { or } 1} x v(x) Q^{-2 / 3}(x) / \log (1+|x|)<\infty \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2.5. Let $w_{Q}(x):=\exp \left(-|x|^{a} / 2\right)$ with $a>1$, and $v(x):=(|x|+1)^{-\Delta}$. Then the necessary condition for $\Delta$ is $\Delta \geqslant 1-(2 a) / 3$, because for large $|x|>0$ by Theorem 2.4

$$
v(x) x Q^{-2 / 3}(x) / \log (1+|x|) \sim|x|^{1-\Delta-(2 a) / 3} / \log (1+|x|)<\infty
$$

should hold. Therefore, the condition $\Delta \geqslant 1 / 3$ is necessary in order that the weighted Hermite-Fejér interpolation polynomials converge for all $w_{Q}(x):=\exp \left(-|x|^{a} / 2\right)$ with $a>1$ and the factor $(1+|x|)^{-1 / 3}$ in Theorem 2.2 is optimal.

Moreover, we give a necessary condition for $L_{p}$ convergence in the following. Let $a_{u}$ for $u>0$ be the Mhaskar-Rakhamanov-Saff number. See Section 5, Appendix.

Theorem 2.6. Let $w_{Q} \in \mathcal{A}$ and $0<p \leqslant \infty$ be given. Let $w \geqslant 0$ and $u$ be an even and non decreasing function defined on I. Suppose for some fixed $0<\delta<1$ and $\sigma>1$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} u^{-1}\left(a_{\delta n}\right) T^{-3 / 2}\left(a_{n}\right) a_{n}^{1-1 / p} \\
& \quad \times\left\|p_{n}^{2}(x) w(x)\right\|_{L_{p}\left(|x| \leqslant a_{\sigma n}\right)} / \log ^{1+1 / p} a_{n}=\infty \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Then there exists a continuous function $g: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|g(x) w_{Q}^{2}(x) u(x)\right\|_{L_{p}(I)}<\infty \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|H_{n}\left[w_{Q}^{2} ; g\right](x) w(x)\right\|_{L_{p}(I)}=\infty
$$

Theorem 2.7. Let $w_{Q} \in \mathcal{A}$ and $0<p \leqslant \infty$ be given. Let $w \geqslant 0$ and $u$ be an even and non decreasing function defined on I. If for every continuous function $g$ defined on I satisfying (2.7) it holds that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\left(g-H_{n}\left[w_{Q}^{2} ; g\right]\right) w\right\|_{L_{p}(I)}=0
$$

then it is necessary that for some fixed $0<\delta<1$ and $\sigma>1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} u^{-1}\left(a_{\delta n}\right) T^{-3 / 2}\left(a_{n}\right) a_{n}^{1-1 / p}\left\|p_{n}^{2}(x) w(x)\right\|_{L_{p}\left(|x| \leqslant a_{\sigma n}\right)} / \log ^{1+1 / p} a_{n}<\infty \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Lemmas and proofs

To prove the theorems, we need some lemmas. In the following, constants independent of $n$ and $x$ are denoted by $C, C_{1}, C_{2}, \ldots$. The symbol $C$ does not necessarily denote the same constant in different occurrences.

By (1.1), we have (cf. [32, p. 330])

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{n}\left[w_{Q}^{2} ; f\right](x):=\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(1-\frac{p_{n}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)}{p_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)}\left(x-x_{k n}\right)\right) f\left(x_{k n}\right) l_{k n}^{2}(x), \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $l_{k n}(x)$ is the fundamental Lagrange interpolation polynomial ([10, p. 23]), given by

$$
l_{k n}\left(w_{Q}^{2} ; x\right):=\frac{p_{n}\left(w_{Q}^{2} ; x\right)}{p_{n}^{\prime}\left(w_{Q}^{2} ; x_{k n}\right)\left(x-x_{k n}\right)}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots, n .
$$

Define

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{Q}(x, t):=\frac{Q^{\prime}(t)-Q^{\prime}(x)}{t-x}, \quad x, t \in I \backslash\{0\},  \tag{3.2}\\
& \rho_{n}:=\rho_{n}\left(w_{Q}^{2}\right):=\gamma_{n-1}\left(w_{Q}^{2}\right) / \gamma_{n}\left(w_{Q}^{2}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n}(x):=2 \rho_{n} \int_{I}\left(p_{n} w_{Q}\right)^{2}(t) \bar{Q}(x, t) d t \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, define the Christoffel numbers

$$
\lambda_{k n}:=\int_{I} l_{k n}^{2}(x) w_{Q}^{2}(x) d x=\int_{I} l_{k n}(x) w_{Q}^{2}(x) d x \quad k=1,2, \ldots, n
$$

and $\delta_{n}, \Psi_{n}(x)$ and their properties are shown in Section 5, Appendix.
Lemma 3.1. Let $w_{Q} \in \mathcal{A}$. Then for $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n$

$$
\begin{align*}
& l_{k n}(x)=\lambda_{k n} \rho_{n} p_{n-1}\left(x_{k n}\right) \frac{p_{n}\left(x_{k n}\right)}{x-x_{k n}} ;  \tag{3.4}\\
& \lambda_{k n} \rho_{n} p_{n-1}^{2}\left(x_{k n}\right)=\frac{1}{A_{n}\left(x_{k n}\right)} ;  \tag{3.5}\\
& A_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)=2 \rho_{n} \int_{I}\left(p_{n} w_{Q}\right)^{2}(t) \frac{\bar{Q}(x, t)}{t-x_{k n}} d t \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{p_{n}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)}{p_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)}=2 Q^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)+\frac{A_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)}{A_{n}\left(x_{k n}\right)} . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. (3.4) is in [10, p. 23-34]; (3.5) is in [25, (5.9)]; (3.6) is in [25, p. 579, in the proof of Lemma 5.3]; and (3.7) is in [25, (5.5)].

Lemma 3.2. Let $w_{Q} \in \mathcal{A}$. Then there exist constants $C_{1}, C_{2}>0$ such that uniformly for $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n$ and $n$,

$$
Q^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)-C_{1} \leqslant \frac{p_{n}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)}{p_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)} \leqslant 3 Q^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)+C_{2} .
$$

Proof. We will follow the method of Lemma 5.3 in [25]. From (3.6), we can obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|A_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)\right| \leqslant & 2 \rho_{n} \int_{\left|t-x_{k n}\right| \geqslant 2\left(1+Q^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)\right)^{-1}}\left(p_{n} w_{Q}\right)^{2}(t) \frac{\left|\bar{Q}\left(x_{k n}, t\right)\right|}{\left|t-x_{k n}\right|} d t \\
& +2 \rho_{n} \int_{\left|t-x_{k n}\right|<2\left(1+Q^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)\right)^{-1}}\left(p_{n} w_{Q}\right)^{2}(t) \frac{\left|\bar{Q}\left(x_{k n}, t\right)\right|}{\left|t-x_{k n}\right|} d t \\
:= & I_{1}+I_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\bar{Q}(x, t) \geqslant 0$ from (a) of Definition 2.1, by (3.3) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{1} & \leqslant 2 \rho_{n} \int_{I} \frac{1}{2}\left(1+Q^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)\right)\left(p_{n} w_{Q}\right)^{2}(t)\left|\bar{Q}\left(x_{k n}, t\right)\right| d t \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(1+Q^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)\right) A_{n}\left(x_{k n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Next, we estimate $I_{2}$. Suppose that $x_{k n}>0$ and let

$$
u:=\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{1} x_{k n} t Q^{\prime}\left(x_{k n} t\right) / \sqrt{1-t^{2}} d t
$$

Then $a_{u}=x_{k n}$. See Section 5, Appendix. Then since $|t| \leqslant a_{u}+2\left(1+Q^{\prime}\left(a_{u}\right)\right)^{-1}$, by (A.5) we have

$$
\left|\frac{t}{x_{k n}}\right| \leqslant 1+\frac{2}{a_{u} Q^{\prime}\left(a_{u}\right)} \leqslant 1+\frac{C}{u T^{1 / 2}\left(a_{u}\right)} .
$$

Here, since $C \frac{T^{1 / 2}\left(a_{u}\right)}{u}=o(1)$ as $u \rightarrow \infty$ by (A.8), there exists a constant $c>1$ such that by (A.7),

$$
|t| \leqslant\left(1+\frac{C}{u T^{1 / 2}\left(a_{u}\right)}\right) a_{u} \leqslant\left(1+\frac{o(1)}{T\left(a_{u}\right)}\right) a_{u} \leqslant a_{c u}, \quad \text { as } u \rightarrow \infty
$$

By the mean value property, there exists $\tau$ between $x_{k n}$ and $t$ such that if $0<|\tau| \leqslant 1 / 2$ then

$$
\left|Q^{\prime}(t)-Q^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)\right|=Q^{\prime \prime}(|\tau|)\left|t-x_{k n}\right| \leqslant C_{1} \frac{2}{1+Q^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)} \leqslant C_{2}
$$

and if $|\tau|>1 / 2$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|Q^{\prime}(t)-Q^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)\right|= Q^{\prime \prime}(|\tau|)\left|t-x_{k n}\right|=\frac{T(|\tau|)-1}{|\tau|} Q^{\prime}(|\tau|)\left|t-x_{k n}\right| \text { by }(2.1) \\
& \leqslant \frac{4 T(|\tau|)}{1+Q^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)} Q^{\prime}(|\tau|) \leqslant \frac{4 T(|\tau|)}{Q^{\prime}\left(a_{u}\right)} Q^{\prime}(|\tau|) \\
& \leqslant C_{1} \frac{T\left(a_{c u}\right)}{Q^{\prime}\left(a_{u}\right)} \frac{Q^{\prime}\left(a_{c u}\right)}{Q^{\prime}\left(a_{u}\right)} Q^{\prime}\left(a_{u}\right)\left(\because \cdot 0<|\tau| \leqslant \max \left\{a_{u},|t|\right\} \leqslant a_{c u}\right) \\
& \leqslant C_{2} \frac{T\left(a_{u}\right)}{Q^{\prime}\left(a_{u}\right)} Q^{\prime}\left(a_{u}\right) \text { by (A.6) } \\
& \leqslant C_{3} \frac{a_{u} T^{1 / 2}\left(a_{u}\right)}{u} Q^{\prime}\left(a_{u}\right) \text { by }(5.5) \text { with } j=1 \\
& \leqslant C_{4}\left(\frac{a_{u}}{u}\right)^{\varepsilon} Q^{\prime}\left(a_{u}\right) \quad \text { for some } \varepsilon>0 \quad \text { by (A.8) } \\
& \leqslant \frac{1}{4}\left(Q^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)+C_{5}\right) \\
&\left(\because \lim _{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_{u}}{u}=0\right. \text { by (4.1) or (b2) conditions) }
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, since for $I_{2}$

$$
\left|Q^{\prime}(t)-Q^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)\right|=Q^{\prime \prime}(|\tau|)\left|t-x_{k n}\right| \leqslant \frac{1}{4}\left(Q^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)+C\right)
$$

we have by (3.2), (3.4), and (3.5)

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{2} & \leqslant 2 \rho_{n} \int_{\left|t-x_{k n}\right|<2\left(1+Q^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)\right)^{-1}}\left(p_{n} w_{Q}\right)^{2}(t) \frac{\left|\bar{Q}\left(x_{k n}, t\right)\right|}{\left|t-x_{k n}\right|} d t \\
& =2 \rho_{n} \int_{\left|t-x_{k n}\right|<2\left(1+Q^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)\right)^{-1}}\left(\frac{p_{n} w_{Q}(t)}{\left|t-x_{k n}\right|}\right)^{2}\left|Q^{\prime}(t)-Q^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)\right| d t \\
& \leqslant \frac{1}{2}\left(Q^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)+C\right) \rho_{n} \int_{\left|t-x_{k n}\right|<2\left(1+Q^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)\right)^{-1}}\left(\frac{p_{n} w_{Q}(t)}{\left|t-x_{k n}\right|}\right)^{2} d t
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leqslant \frac{1}{2}\left(Q^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)+C\right) \rho_{n} \int_{I}\left(\frac{p_{n} w_{Q}(t)}{\left|t-x_{k n}\right|}\right)^{2} d t \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(Q^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)+C\right) \rho_{n}\left(\lambda_{k n} \rho_{n} p_{n-1}\left(x_{k n}\right)\right)^{-2} \int_{I}\left(l_{k n} w_{Q}(t)\right)^{2} d t \\
& \leqslant \frac{1}{2}\left(Q^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)+C\right) A_{n}\left(x_{k n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

From the estimations for $I_{1}$ and $I_{2}$, we have

$$
\left|A_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right) / A_{n}\left(x_{k n}\right)\right| \leqslant Q^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)+C
$$

Therefore, there exist constants $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}>0$ such that we obtain by (3.7)

$$
Q^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)-C_{1} \leqslant \frac{p_{n}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)}{p_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)} \leqslant 3 Q^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)+C_{2} .
$$

Lemma 3.3. There exists a constant $C \in I+$ such that for $x_{k n}<-C$ and $x>0$

$$
\frac{1}{2}\left(x-x_{k n}\right) Q^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right) \leqslant \frac{p_{n}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)}{p_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)}\left(x-x_{k n}\right)-1 \leqslant 4 Q^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)\left(x-x_{k n}\right) .
$$

Proof. Since $\lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty}$ or $1 Q^{\prime}(x)=\infty$, there exists a constant $C>0$ such that for $x_{k n}<$ $-C$ and $x>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{p_{n}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)}{p_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)}\left(x-x_{k n}\right)-1 & \geqslant\left(Q^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)-C_{1}\right)\left(x-x_{k n}\right)-1 \\
& =\left(x-x_{k n}\right)\left(Q^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)-C_{1}-\frac{1}{x-x_{k n}}\right) \\
& =\left(x-x_{k n}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2} Q^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)+\frac{1}{2} Q^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)-C_{1}-\frac{1}{x-x_{k n}}\right) \\
& \geqslant\left(x-x_{k n}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2} Q^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)+\frac{1}{2} Q^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)-C_{1}-\frac{1}{\left|x_{k n}\right|}\right) \\
& \geqslant \frac{1}{2}\left(x-x_{k n}\right) Q^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{p_{n}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)}{p_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)}\left(x-x_{k n}\right)-1 & \leqslant\left(3 Q^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)+C_{2}\right)\left(x-x_{k n}\right)-1 \\
& \leqslant\left(x-x_{k n}\right)\left(3 Q^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)+C_{2}\right) \\
& \leqslant 4\left(x-x_{k n}\right) Q^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are the same as in Lemma 3.2.
Now, let $K>0$ be a constant satisfying the conditions of Lemma 3.3, i.e. for $x_{k n}<-K$ and $x>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}\left(x-x_{k n}\right) Q^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right) \leqslant \frac{p_{n}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)}{p_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)}\left(x-x_{k n}\right)-1 \leqslant 4 Q^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)\left(x-x_{k n}\right) . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Theorem 2.3. Let $0<K<J$ on $I+$. Then define a continuous function $f$ satisfying $f(x) w_{Q}^{2}(x) Q^{\prime}(x) \log (1+|x|)=-1$ on $x<-J, 0 \leqslant-f(x) w_{Q}^{2}(x) Q^{\prime}(x) \log (1+$ $|x|) \leqslant 1$ on $[-J,-K]$ and $f(x)=0$ on $x>-K$. Then for $x>0$ by (3.1) and (3.8)

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{n}\left[w_{Q}^{2} ; f\right](x) & =\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(1-\frac{p_{n}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)}{p_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)}\left(x-x_{k n}\right)\right) f\left(x_{k n}\right) l_{k n}^{2}(x) \\
& =\sum_{x_{k n} \leqslant-K}\left(1-\frac{p_{n}{ }^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)}{p_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)}\left(x-x_{k n}\right)\right) f\left(x_{k n}\right) l_{k n}^{2}(x) \\
& \sim \sum_{x_{k n} \leqslant-K}-Q^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)\left(x-x_{k n}\right) f\left(x_{k n}\right) l_{k n}^{2}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $y_{n}$ be an element to maximize $\left|p_{n} w_{Q}\right|$ and for some constant $0<C_{1}<C_{2}$ and large $n, a_{n / 2}<a_{n}\left(1-C_{2} \delta_{n}\right)<y_{n}<a_{n}\left(1-C_{1} \delta_{n}\right)<a_{n}$ by (A.3), (A.9), and (A.10). Then we have for large $n$ and for some $0<\beta<1$ with $J<\beta a_{n}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x\left|H_{n}\left[w_{Q}^{2} ; f\right]\left(y_{n}\right) w_{Q}^{2}\left(y_{n}\right) v\left(y_{n}\right)\right| \\
& \\
& \sim \sum_{x_{k n} \leqslant-K}-Q^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)\left(y_{n}-x_{k n}\right) f\left(x_{k n}\right) l_{k n}^{2}\left(y_{n}\right) w_{Q}^{2}\left(y_{n}\right) v\left(y_{n}\right) \\
& \\
& \gtrsim \sum_{-\beta a_{n}<x_{k n}<-J}-Q^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)\left(y_{n}-x_{k n}\right) f\left(x_{k n}\right) l_{k n}^{2}\left(y_{n}\right) w_{Q}^{2}\left(y_{n}\right) v\left(y_{n}\right) \\
& \\
& \sim \sum_{-\beta a_{n}<x_{k n}<-J}\left(y_{n}-x_{k n}\right) l_{k n}^{2}\left(y_{n}\right) w_{Q}^{2}\left(y_{n}\right) v\left(y_{n}\right) w_{Q}^{-2}\left(x_{k n}\right) \log ^{-1}\left(1+\left|x_{k n}\right|\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since for $-\beta a_{n}<x_{k n}<-J$ and by (A.1) and (A.2),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Psi_{n}\left(x_{k n}\right) \sim\left(1-\left|x_{k n}\right| / a_{n}+L \delta_{n}\right)^{1 / 2} \sim 1, \\
& \Delta x_{k n}:=x_{k n}-x_{k+1, n} \sim \frac{a_{n}}{n} \Psi_{n}\left(x_{k n}\right) \sim \frac{a_{n}}{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

and by (A.9),

$$
a_{n}^{1 / 2} p_{n}\left(y_{n}\right) w_{Q}\left(y_{n}\right) \sim\left(n T\left(a_{n}\right)\right)^{1 / 6}
$$

we have for $-\beta a_{n}<x_{k n}<-J$ by (A.4)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(y_{n}-x_{k n}\right) l_{k n}^{2}\left(y_{n}\right) w_{Q}^{-2}\left(x_{k n}\right) w_{Q}^{2}\left(y_{n}\right) \\
& \quad \sim\left(y_{n}-x_{k n}\right) \frac{a_{n}^{3}}{n^{2}} \Psi_{n}^{2}\left(x_{k n}\right)\left(1-\left|x_{k n}\right| / a_{n}+L \delta_{n}\right)^{1 / 2} \frac{p_{n}^{2}\left(y_{n}\right) w_{Q}^{2}\left(y_{n}\right)}{\left(y_{n}-x_{k n}\right)^{2}} \\
& \quad \sim \frac{a_{n}}{n}\left(n T\left(a_{n}\right)\right)^{1 / 3} \frac{\Delta x_{k n}}{y_{n}+\beta a_{n}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

So, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|H_{n}\left[w_{Q}^{2} ; f\right]\left(y_{n}\right) w_{Q}^{2}\left(y_{n}\right) v\left(y_{n}\right)\right| \\
& \quad \gtrsim \frac{a_{n}}{n}\left(n T\left(a_{n}\right)\right)^{1 / 3} v\left(y_{n}\right) \sum_{-\beta a_{n}<x_{k n}<-J} \frac{\Delta x_{k n}}{\left(y_{n}+\beta a_{n}\right) \log a_{n}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sim v\left(y_{n}\right) \frac{a_{n}}{n}\left(n T\left(a_{n}\right)\right)^{1 / 3} \frac{1}{\log a_{n}} \\
& \sim v\left(y_{n}\right) a_{n} \frac{T^{1 / 3}\left(a_{n}\right)}{n^{2 / 3}} \frac{1}{\log a_{n}} \\
& \sim v\left(y_{n}\right) a_{n} Q^{-2 / 3}\left(a_{n}\right) \frac{1}{\log a_{n}} \quad \text { by (A.5) } \\
& \sim v\left(y_{n}\right) y_{n} Q^{-2 / 3}\left(y_{n}\right) \frac{1}{\log y_{n}} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text { by (A.6) }
\end{aligned}
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, since $a_{n / 2}<y_{n}<a_{n}$. Therefore, we have the result.
Proof of Theorem 2.6. Let $0<K, K \in I+$ and $K$ be a constant satisfying the conditions of Lemma 3.3. See Eq. (3.8). Define a continuous function $g$ satisfying that for some constant $L$ with $L>K$

$$
\begin{cases}g(x)=0, & x>-K \\ 0 \leqslant g(x) w_{Q}^{2}(x) u(x) \leqslant L^{-1 / p} \log ^{-1-1 / p}(1+L), & -L \leqslant x \leqslant-K, \\ \text { and } & \\ g(x) w_{Q}^{2}(x) u(x)=|x|^{-1 / p} \log ^{-1-1 / p}(1+|x|), & x<-L\end{cases}
$$

Then $g$ satisfies (2.7). Let $\gamma$ be a constant with $0<\gamma<1$ and $a_{\gamma n}>L$ for large $n$. Then for large $n, 0<\gamma<\delta<1$, and $x>0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|H_{n}\left[w_{Q}^{2} ; g\right](x)\right| \\
& \quad \sim \sum_{x_{k n}<-K}-g\left(x_{k n}\right) Q^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)\left(x-x_{k n}\right) l_{k n}^{2}(x) \text { by (3.8) } \\
& \gtrsim \sum_{-a_{\delta n}<x_{k n}<-a_{y n}}-g\left(x_{k n}\right) Q^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)\left(x-x_{k n}\right) l_{k n}^{2}(x) \\
& \gtrsim \sum_{-a_{\delta n}<x_{k n}<-a_{y n}}-Q^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)\left(x-x_{k n}\right) l_{k n}^{2}(x) w_{Q}^{-2}\left(x_{k n}\right) u^{-1}\left(x_{k n}\right)\left|x_{k n}\right|^{-1 / p} \\
& \quad \times \log ^{-1-1 / p}\left(1+\left|x_{k n}\right|\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since for $-a_{\delta n}<x_{k n}<-a_{\gamma n}$ by (A.1), (A.7), and (A.6)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(1-\left|x_{k n}\right| / a_{n}+L \delta_{n}\right)^{1 / 4} \sim T^{-1 / 4}\left(a_{n}\right), \quad \Psi_{n}\left(x_{k n}\right) \sim T^{-1 / 2}\left(a_{n}\right) \\
& T\left(x_{k n}\right) \sim T\left(a_{n}\right), \text { and }-Q^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right) \sim Q^{\prime}\left(a_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

by (A.2), (A.4), and (A.5) we have

$$
-Q^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)\left(x-x_{k n}\right) l_{k n}^{2}(x) w_{Q}^{-2}\left(x_{k n}\right) \sim a_{n} p_{n}^{2}(x) T^{-1 / 2}\left(a_{n}\right) \frac{\Delta x_{k n}}{x+\left|x_{k n}\right|}
$$

Therefore, for $\sigma>1$ and $0<x<a_{\sigma n}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|H_{n}\left[w_{Q}^{2} ; g\right](x)\right| \\
& \quad \gtrsim a_{n}^{1-1 / p} p_{n}^{2}(x) T^{-1 / 2}\left(a_{n}\right) \log ^{-1-1 / p} a_{n} \sum_{-a_{\delta n}<x_{k n}<-a_{y n}} \frac{\Delta x_{k n}}{\left(x+a_{\delta n}\right)} u^{-1}\left(a_{\delta n}\right) \\
& \quad \sim a_{n}^{1-1 / p} p_{n}^{2}(x) T^{-1 / 2}\left(a_{n}\right) \log ^{-1-1 / p} a_{n} \frac{a_{\delta n}-a_{\gamma n}}{\left(x+a_{\delta n}\right)} u^{-1}\left(a_{\delta n}\right) \\
& \quad \sim a_{n}^{1-1 / p} p_{n}^{2}(x) T^{-1 / 2}\left(a_{n}\right) \log ^{-1-1 / p} a_{n} \frac{\left(1-a_{\gamma n} / a_{\delta n}\right)}{\left(1+x / a_{\delta n}\right)} u^{-1}\left(a_{\delta n}\right) \\
& \sim a_{n}^{1-1 / p} p_{n}^{2}(x) \frac{T^{-3 / 2}\left(a_{n}\right)}{1+x / a_{\delta n}} u^{-1}\left(a_{\delta n}\right) \log ^{-1-1 / p} a_{n} \quad \text { by (A.7) } \\
& \sim a_{n}^{1-1 / p} p_{n}^{2}(x) T^{-3 / 2}\left(a_{n}\right) u^{-1}\left(a_{\delta n}\right) \log ^{-1-1 / p} a_{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} u^{-1}\left(a_{\delta n}\right) T^{-3 / 2}\left(a_{n}\right) a_{n}^{1-1 / p}\left(\int_{|x| \leqslant a_{\sigma n}}\left|p_{n}^{2}(x) w(x)\right|^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p} / \log ^{1+1 / p} a_{n} \\
& \quad \lesssim \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\int_{I}\left|H_{n}\left[w_{Q}^{2} ; g\right](x) w(x)\right|^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p},
\end{aligned}
$$

implying the result.
Proof of Theorems 2.4 and 2.7. By applying the uniform boundedness theorem for $1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty$, we have that

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\int_{I}\left|H_{n}\left[w_{Q}^{2} ; g\right](x) w(x)\right|^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p} \lesssim\left\|g(x) w_{Q}^{2}(x) u(x)\right\|_{L_{p}(I)}<\infty
$$

For $0<p<1$, the method is almost the same as in the case of $1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty$ (cf. Theorem 10.19 [27, p. 182]).

## 4. Applications: $\mathcal{F}$ cases and $\mathcal{E}_{1}$ cases

We consider Freud weights $w:=\exp (-Q)$ where $Q: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is of polynomial growth at infinity.

Definition 4.1. Freud class $\mathcal{F}$ :
Let $w_{Q} \in \mathcal{A}$ on $I=\mathbb{R}$ satisfying (b1). Then we write $w_{Q} \in \mathcal{F}$.
A typical example of the Freud weights is

$$
w_{\alpha}(x):=\exp \left(-|x|^{\alpha}\right), \quad \alpha>1, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}
$$

Specially, the case of $\alpha=2$ is the Hermite weight.

Proposition 4.2. Let $w_{Q} \in \mathcal{F}$. If $A$ and $B$ are the same as in (2.3) then for some constant $C>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
C u^{1 / B} \leqslant a_{u} \leqslant C u^{1 / A}, \quad u \in[1, \infty) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. This is Lemma 5.2 (b) [13, p. 478].
In the following, we restate Corollary 5.3 of [11].
Proposition 4.3 (Jung [11]). Let $w_{Q} \in \mathcal{F}$. Let $u(x):=\left(1+\left|Q^{\prime}(x)\right|\right)$ and $v(x):=(1+$ $|x|)^{-\Delta}, \Delta \geqslant 0$. If $\Delta>1-\frac{2}{3} A$ then for a continuous function $f$ on $\mathbb{R}$ with

$$
\lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty}\left|f(x) w_{Q}^{2}(x) u(x)\right|=0
$$

we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\left(f(x)-H_{n}\left[w_{Q}^{2} ; f\right](x)\right) w_{Q}^{2}(x) v(x)\right\|_{L_{\infty}(\mathbb{R})}=0
$$

where $A$ is the same as in (2.3).
Theorem 4.4. Let $w_{Q} \in \mathcal{F}$. Let $u(x):=\left(1+\left|Q^{\prime}(x)\right|\right)$ and $v(x):=(1+|x|)^{-\Delta}, \Delta \geqslant 0$. If for every continuous function $g$ defined on I satisfying (2.4) it holds that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\left(g(x)-H_{n}\left[w_{Q}^{2} ; g\right](x)\right) w_{Q}^{2}(x) v(x)\right\|_{L_{\infty}(\mathbb{R})}=0
$$

then it is necessary that

$$
\Delta \geqslant \max \left\{0,1-\frac{2}{3} B\right\},
$$

where $B$ is the same as in (2.3).
Proof. From (2.5), it is necessary that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} x(1+x)^{-\Delta} Q^{-2 / 3}(x) / \log (1+|x|)<\infty \\
& \quad=\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} x^{1-\Delta} Q^{-\frac{2}{3}}(x) / \log x \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Since from (2.3) it follows that

$$
C_{1} x^{A} \leqslant Q(x) \leqslant C_{2} x^{B}, \quad|x| \geqslant x_{0}>0
$$

if $\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} x^{1-\Delta}\left(x^{B}\right)^{-\frac{2}{3}} / \log x$ diverges, then (4.2) also diverges which implies the statement.

Proposition 4.5 (Jung and Kwon [12]). Let $w_{Q} \in \mathcal{F}$ and let $0<p<\infty, \Delta \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha>0$, and $\widehat{\alpha}:=\min \{1, \alpha\}$. Assume that for $0<p \leqslant 2$,

$$
\Delta>-\widehat{\alpha}+\frac{1}{p}
$$

and for $p>2$,

$$
a_{n}^{-(\hat{\alpha}+\Delta)+\frac{1}{p}} n^{\frac{1}{3}\left(1-\frac{2}{p}\right)}=O\left(\frac{1}{\log n}\right) \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty,
$$

where $a_{n}$ is the nth Mhaskar-Rakhmanov-Saff number. Then

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\left(f(x)-H_{n}\left[w_{Q}^{2} ; f\right](x)\right) w_{Q}^{2}(x)(1+|x|)^{-\Delta}\right\|_{L_{p}(\mathbb{R})}=0
$$

for every continuous function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$
\lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty}|f(x)| w_{Q}^{2}(x)(1+|x|)^{\alpha}=0
$$

Theorem 4.6. Let $\alpha \geqslant 0$ and $0<p \leqslant \infty$. If for every continuous function $f$ defined on $I$ satisfying that

$$
\left\|f(x) w_{Q}^{2}(x)(1+|x|)^{\alpha}\right\|_{L_{p}(\mathbb{R})}<\infty
$$

it holds that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\left(f(x)-H_{n}\left[w_{Q}^{2} ; f\right](x)\right) w_{Q}^{2}(x)(1+|x|)^{-\Delta}\right\|_{L_{p}(\mathbb{R})}=0
$$

then it is necessary that in case of $0<p \leqslant 2$,

$$
\Delta \geqslant-\alpha
$$

and in case of $2<p \leqslant \infty$,

$$
a_{n}^{-\alpha-\Delta_{n}} n^{\frac{1}{3}\left(1-\frac{2}{p}\right)}=O\left(\log ^{1+1 / p} n\right)
$$

Proof. Let $w(x):=w_{Q}^{2}(x)(1+|x|)^{-\Delta}$ and $u(x):=(1+|x|)^{\alpha}$. Then by (2.3)

$$
\begin{aligned}
J & :=u^{-1}\left(a_{\gamma n}\right) T^{-\frac{3}{2}}\left(a_{n}\right) a_{n}^{1-1 / p}\left\|p_{n}^{2}(x) w(x)\right\|_{L_{p}\left(|x| \leqslant a_{2 n}\right)} \log ^{-(1+1 / p)} a_{n} \\
& \sim a_{n}^{-\alpha-1 / p}\left\|a_{n} p_{n}^{2}(x) w_{Q}^{2}(x)(1+|x|)^{-\Delta}\right\|_{L_{p}\left(|x| \leqslant a_{2 n}\right)} \log ^{-(1+1 / p)} a_{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From Theorem 2.7, it is necessary that by (A.9)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\infty>J & \gtrsim a_{n}^{-\alpha-\Delta-1 / p}\left\|a_{n} p_{n}^{2}(x) w_{Q}^{2}(x)\right\|_{L_{p}\left[-a_{n}, a_{n}\right]} \log ^{-(1+1 / p)} a_{n} \\
& \sim a_{n}^{-\alpha-\Delta-1 / p}\left\|a_{n} p_{n}^{2}(x) w_{Q}^{2}(x)\right\|_{L_{p}(\mathbb{R})} \log ^{-(1+1 / p)} a_{n} \\
& \sim a_{n}^{-\alpha-\Delta} \log ^{-(1+1 / p)} a_{n} \begin{cases}1, & p<2, \\
(\log n)^{1 / 2}, & p=2, \\
n^{\frac{1}{3}\left(1-\frac{2}{p}\right)}, & p>2 .\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we have the result.

### 4.1. Erdốs weight case

We consider Erdős weights $w_{Q}(x):=\exp (-Q(x))$ where $Q: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is even and is of faster than polynomial growth at infinity.

Definition 4.7. Erdős class $\mathcal{E}_{1}$ :
Let $w_{Q} \in \mathcal{A}$ on $I=\mathbb{R}$ satisfying (b2). Moreover, if we have for any $\varepsilon>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(x) \leqslant C(Q(x))^{\varepsilon}, x \rightarrow \infty \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some positive constant $C$ independent of $x$ then we write $w \in \mathcal{E}_{1}$.
The archetypal examples of $w_{Q} \in \mathcal{E}_{1}$ are
(1)

$$
w_{k, \alpha}(x):=\exp \left(-\exp _{k}\left(|x|^{\alpha}\right)\right), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}
$$

where $\alpha>0, k$ is a positive integer, and $\exp _{k}()=\exp (\exp (\exp (\cdots)))$ denotes the $k$ th iterated exponential.
(2)

$$
w_{A, B}(x):=\exp \left(-\exp \left(\log \left(A+x^{2}\right)^{B}\right), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}\right.
$$

where $A$ is a fixed but large enough positive number and $B>1$.
For example for $w_{k, \alpha}$,

$$
T(x)=T_{k, \alpha}(x)=\alpha\left[1+x^{\alpha} \sum_{l=1}^{k} \prod_{j=1}^{l-1} \exp _{j}\left(x^{\alpha}\right)\right], \quad x \geqslant 0
$$

and so (2.2) and (4.3) hold in the stronger form

$$
\lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty \text { or } 1} T(x) /\left(\frac{x Q^{\prime}(x)}{Q(x)}\right)=1 ; \quad \lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(x)}{\left[\prod_{j=1}^{k} \log _{j} Q(x)\right]}=\alpha
$$

Proposition 4.8. Let $w_{Q} \in \mathcal{E}_{1}$. Then for any $\varepsilon>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{n} \leqslant C n^{\varepsilon} \quad \text { and } \quad T\left(a_{n}\right) \leqslant C n^{\varepsilon}, \quad n \geqslant 1 . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. This is Lemma 2.4 in [8].
Proposition 4.9 (Jung [11]). Let $w_{Q} \in \mathcal{E}_{1} . \operatorname{Let} u(x):=Q^{\prime}(x)^{\Delta}$ with $\Delta>1 / 3$. Then for $a$ continuous function $f$ on $\mathbb{R}$ with

$$
\lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty}\left|f(x) w_{Q}^{2}(x) u(x)\right|=0
$$

we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\left(f(x)-H_{n}\left[w_{Q}^{2}, f\right](x)\right) w_{Q}^{2}(x)\right\|_{L_{\infty}(\mathbb{R})}=0
$$

Theorem 4.10. Let $w_{Q} \in \mathcal{E}_{1}$. Let $u(x):=Q^{\prime \Delta}(x), \Delta \geqslant 0$ and $w(x):=w_{Q}^{2}(x)$. If for every continuous function $g$ defined on I satisfying (2.7) it holds that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\left(g(x)-H_{n}\left[w_{Q}^{2} ; g\right](x)\right) w_{Q}^{2}(x)\right\|_{L_{\infty}(\mathbb{R})}=0
$$

then it is necessary that $\Delta \geqslant \frac{1}{3}$.
Proof. Let $y_{n}$ be an element to maximize $\left|p_{n} w_{Q}\right|$ and for some constant $0<C_{1}<C_{2}$ and large $n, a_{n / 2}<a_{n}\left(1-C_{2} \delta_{n}\right)<y_{n}<a_{n}\left(1-C_{1} \delta_{n}\right)<a_{n}$. Then from (2.6), it is necessary that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} Q^{\prime-\Delta}\left(a_{\delta n}\right) T^{-\frac{3}{2}}\left(a_{n}\right) a_{n} p_{n}^{2}\left(y_{n}\right) w\left(y_{n}\right) / \log a_{n}<\infty \\
& \quad=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{a_{n}}{n T^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(a_{n}\right)}\right)^{\Delta} T^{-\frac{3}{2}}\left(a_{n}\right)\left(n T\left(a_{n}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} / \log a_{n} \quad \text { by (A.5) } \\
& \quad=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{\frac{1}{3}-\Delta} a_{n}^{\Delta} T^{-\frac{\Delta}{2}-\frac{3}{2}}\left(a_{n}\right) / \log a_{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we have the result because we obtain a contradiction by (4.4) if $\Delta<\frac{1}{3}$.
Proposition 4.11 (Damelin et al. [6]). Let $w_{Q} \in \mathcal{E}$. Let $0<p<\infty, \Delta \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\kappa>0$. Assume that

$$
\Delta>\max \left\{0, \frac{2}{3}\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}\right)\right\}
$$

Then

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\left(f(x)-H_{n}\left[w_{Q}^{2} ; f\right](x)\right) w_{Q}^{2}(x)(1+Q(x))^{-\Delta}\right\|_{L_{p}(\mathbb{R})}=0
$$

for every continuous function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$
\lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty}|f(x)| w_{Q}^{2}(x) \log ^{1+\kappa}(1+|x|) T^{\frac{1}{2}}(x)=0
$$

Theorem 4.12. Let $w_{Q} \in \mathcal{E}_{1}$ and $0<p \leqslant \infty$. Iffor every continuous function $f$ defined on I satisfying that

$$
\left\|f(x) w_{Q}^{2}(x) \log ^{1+\kappa}(|x|+1) T^{1 / 2}(x)\right\|_{L_{p}(\mathbb{R})}<\infty
$$

it holds that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\left(f(x)-H_{n}\left[w_{Q}^{2} ; f\right](x)\right) w_{Q}^{2}(x)(1+Q(x))^{-\Delta}\right\|_{L_{p}(\mathbb{R})}=0
$$

then it is necessary that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \geqslant \max \left\{0, \frac{2}{3}\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}\right)\right\} . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $w(x):=w_{Q}^{2}(x)(1+|Q(x)|)^{-\Delta}, u(x):=\log ^{1+\kappa}(1+|x|) T^{1 / 2}(x)$, and

$$
A:=u^{-1}\left(a_{\gamma n}\right) T^{-3 / 2}\left(a_{n}\right) a_{n}^{1-1 / p}\left\|p_{n}^{2}(x) w(x)\right\|_{L_{p}(\mathbb{R})} \log ^{-(1+1 / p)} a_{n}
$$

Similar to Theorem 4.6, from Theorem 2.7, it is necessary that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\infty>A \sim & \left(\log a_{n}\right)^{-(1+\kappa)} T^{-2}\left(a_{n}\right) a_{n}^{-1 / p} \\
& \times\left\|a_{n} p_{n}^{2}(x) w_{Q}^{2}(x)(1+|Q(x)|)^{-\Delta}\right\|_{L_{p}\left(|x| \leqslant a_{\sigma n}\right)} \log ^{-(1+1 / p)} a_{n} \\
\gtrsim & \left(\log a_{n}\right)^{-(1+\kappa)} T^{-2}\left(a_{n}\right) a_{n}^{-1 / p} Q^{-\Delta}\left(a_{n}\right)\left\|a_{n} p_{n}^{2}(x) w_{Q}^{2}(x)\right\|_{L_{p}\left[0, a_{n}\right]} \\
& \times \log ^{-(1+1 / p)} a_{n} \\
& \text { by the monotonicity of } Q \\
\sim & \left(\log a_{n}\right)^{-(1+\kappa)} T^{-2}\left(a_{n}\right) Q^{-\Delta}\left(a_{n}\right) a_{n}^{1 / 2-1 / p} \log ^{-(1+1 / p)} a_{n} \\
& \times\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
1, & p<2 \\
(\log n)^{1 / 2} & p=2 \\
\left(n T\left(a_{n}\right)\right)^{\frac{2}{3}\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}\right)}, & p>2
\end{array} \quad\right. \text { by (A.9). }
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, condition (4.5) is necessary because we obtain a contradiction by (4.4) and (A.5) if $\Delta<\max \left\{0, \frac{2}{3}\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}\right)\right\}$.
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## Appendix

We let $a_{u}$, for $u>0$, be the positive root of the equation

$$
u=\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{1} a_{u} t Q^{\prime}\left(a_{u} t\right) / \sqrt{1-t^{2}} d t
$$

Then under our assumptions on $w_{Q}(x)$ (see [13-15]), $a_{u}$ is uniquely defined and is continuous and increasing with $u$. One of its usefulness is the Mhaskar-Saff identity

$$
\left\|P w_{Q}\right\|_{L_{\infty}(I)}=\left\|P w_{Q}\right\|_{L_{\infty}\left[-a_{n}, a_{n}\right]}
$$

valid for every $P \in \mathcal{P}_{n}, n \geqslant 1$.
We define some auxiliary quantities which we will need in the sequel. See [13-15].
Set:

$$
\delta_{n}:=\left(n T\left(a_{n}\right)\right)^{-2 / 3}, n \geqslant 1,
$$

which are useful in describing the behavior of $p_{n}\left(w^{2}, x\right)$ near $x_{1 n}$. For example, for $w_{Q} \in \mathcal{A}$

$$
\left|x_{1 n} / a_{n}-1\right| \leqslant \frac{L}{2} \delta_{n}
$$

where $L$ is a positive constant independent of $n . \delta_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, since at least $T(x) \geqslant 1$. We also need the sequence of functions

$$
\Psi_{n}(x):= \begin{cases}\max \left\{\sqrt{1-\frac{|x|}{a_{n}}+L \delta_{n}}, \frac{1}{T\left(a_{n}\right) \sqrt{1-\frac{|x|}{a_{n}}+L \delta_{n}}}\right\}, & |x| \leqslant a_{n}  \tag{A.1}\\ \Psi_{n}\left(a_{n}\right), & |x| \geqslant a_{n}\end{cases}
$$

which are useful in describing the spacing of zeros of $p_{n}\left(w^{2}, x\right)$ and Christoffel functions.
The convergence of interpolation is closely connected to bounds on orthogonal polynomials and related estimates, which we recall now.

Proposition A.1. Let $w \in \mathcal{A}$.
(a) For $n \geqslant 2$ and $1 \leqslant j \leqslant n-1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{j n}-x_{j+1, n} \sim \frac{a_{n}}{n} \Psi_{n}\left(x_{j n}\right) \tag{A.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) For $n \geqslant 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x \in I}\left|p_{n}(x)\right| w_{Q}(x)\left|1-|x| / a_{n}\right|^{1 / 4} \sim a_{n}^{-1 / 2} \tag{A.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(c) Uniformly for $n \geqslant 1,1 \leqslant j \leqslant n$, and $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|l_{j n}(x)\right| \sim \frac{a_{n}^{3 / 2}}{n}\left(\Psi_{n} w_{Q}\right)\left(x_{j n}\right)\left(1-\left|x_{j n}\right| / a_{n}+L \delta_{n}\right)^{1 / 4}\left|\frac{p_{n}(x)}{x-x_{j n}}\right| \tag{A.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(d) Uniformly for $u \geqslant C$ and $j=0,1,2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{u}^{j} Q^{(j)}\left(a_{u}\right) \sim u T\left(a_{u}\right)^{j-1 / 2} \tag{A.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(e) Let $0<\alpha<\beta$. Then uniformly for $u \geqslant C$ and $j=0,1,2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T\left(a_{\alpha u}\right) \sim T\left(a_{\beta u}\right), \quad a_{\alpha u} \sim a_{\beta u}, \quad \text { and } \quad Q^{(j)}\left(a_{\alpha u}\right) \sim Q^{(j)}\left(a_{\beta u}\right) \tag{A.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

(f) Given any fixed $r>1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{a_{r u}}{a_{u}}-1 \sim \frac{1}{T\left(a_{u}\right)} \tag{A.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

(g) There exists a constant $\varepsilon$ with $0<\varepsilon<2$ such that for $n \geqslant 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T\left(a_{n}\right) \lesssim\left(\frac{n}{a_{n}}\right)^{2-\varepsilon} \tag{A.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

(h) Given $0<p \leqslant \infty$, we have for $n \geqslant 2$,

$$
\left\|p_{n} w_{Q}\right\|_{L_{p}(I)} \sim a_{n}^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}} \begin{cases}1, & p<4,  \tag{A.9}\\ (\log n)^{\frac{1}{4}}, & p=4, \\ \left(n T\left(a_{n}\right)\right)^{\frac{2}{3}\left(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{p}\right)}, & p>4\end{cases}
$$

(i) Let $0<p \leqslant \infty$. Let $K>0$. There exist $C$ and $N$ depending only on $K, p, w_{Q}$ such that $n \geqslant N$ and $P \in \mathcal{P}_{n}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|P w_{Q}\right\|_{L_{p}(I)} \leqslant C\left\|P w_{Q}\right\|_{L_{p}\left(|x| \leqslant a_{n}\left(1-K \delta_{n}\right)\right)} . \tag{A.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Proof.

(a) These follow from Corollary 1.2 (a), (b) in [13], Corollary 1.4 (i), (1.35) in [14], and Corollary 1.3 (a), (b) in [15].
(b) These follow from Corollary 1.4 in [13], Corollary 1.5 (i) in [14], and Corollary 1.4 (a) in [15].
(c) It follows from the formula of $l_{j n}$ and Corollary 1.3 in [13], Corollary 1.5 (iii) in [14], and Corollary 1.4 (b) in [15].
(d)-(e) For (b1) case, these follow from (b1) condition, Lemma 5.1 (c), and Lemma 5.2 (c) in [13]. Otherwise, these follow from part of Lemma 3.2 in [14] and Lemma 2.2 in [15].
(f) It follows from Lemma 5.2 (c) in [13], Lemma 3.2 (v) in [14], and Lemma 2.2 (v) in [15].
(g) For (b1) case, since $T(x)$ is bounded, it follows from Lemma 5.2 (b) in [13]. Otherwise, it follows from Lemma 3.2 (iii) in [14] and Lemma 2.2 (viii) in [15].
(h) It follows from Corollary 1.4 in [13], Theorem 1.8 and Corollary 1.5 (ii) in [14], Corollary 1.4 (a) in [15], Theorem 1.1 in [18], and Theorem 1 in [23].
(i) It follows from Theorem 1.8 in [13], Theorem 1.7 in [14], and Theorem 1.5 in [15].
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